LAW VS COMMERCE

Law

Although the official definition of the word *law* is "A system of rules which a particular country, community or society must follow", this is <u>not</u> true.

A *law* is something beyond the reach of man, yet man is compelled to follow. Said law has always been and will always ever be; it *cannot* be changed, altered or amended.

Law only deals with reality, not fiction; fact not truth.

<u>For example:</u> There are several laws that man must follow, which *cannot* be changed.

- The laws of physics
- The law of gravity
- The law of economics
- The law of mathematics

Two plus two will always equal four, and this *mathematical law* can *never* be changed.

These *laws* operate *above* man; however *policy* within a *legal system* operates within the *jurisdiction* of *man*, and for some, beneath it.

It is this deceptive switch from *law* to *legal* that most people do <u>not</u> see, and therefore fall into its trap.

The way this deception works is to create a new *legal title* called *"human"*, which is a blend of the words *"hue"* meaning *"colour"* or *"shade"*, and *"man"* referring to the species of mankind.

Once this *legal title* of *human* is accepted, you have *lost* your sovereign status, along with your rights, and entered the *legal world* of two dimensional contracts.

Within this world you have become "colour of man" and now deal with "colour of law", "courts of colour", "warrants of colour" and so forth.

This is an *artificial world*, which lives on paper only, and with a stroke of a pen an "*artificial law*" can be changed, altered and amended at will.

<u>Note:</u> the word *art* comes from *artificial*, with "article" meaning *not* real or false. An *artist* would paint an image of a tree, but it would *not* be a *real* tree, just a copy, facsimile or fake.

Therefore, with this switch from *real* to *artificial*, "*legal*" has now become a *facsimile* of *law*, and only exists within a *contract*, with *policies* being offered to you.

If any bureaucrat, politician or legislator should decree that "the law has changed", then you know they mean policy within a contract, as law can never be changed, only policy can.

Furthermore, *without* your *signature* on said *contract*, these changes to *policy* do *not* apply to you.

The people behind the **system** trick you by moving you from **law** over to their jurisdiction called **legal**, which they can change and control, beyond **your reach**.

This system is called *commerce*.

COMMERCE

The origin or beginning of *commerce* began in *Babylon*, an ancient city in southern Mesopotamia, founded in the year 2300 BC.

Once considered the most powerful nation in the world, the *Babylon Empire* collapsed around the year 1000 BCE, and although war played a part in *Babylon's* demise, the city had long since been considered the home for *"demons"*.

Book of Daniel

How the legend goes: the *King* of *Babylon*, called *Nebuchadnezzar*, had a dream about a *statue* or "*body*" which was clad from head to toe in four metals; Gold, Silver, Bronze and Iron, with a rock cut from God's hands at its feet.

The meaning behind the four metals was a "divided kingdom", or the "four kingdoms and the rock", with each metal signifying a lesser power from the head to the feet.

The rock is said to destroy the *statue* and become a mountain, which evokes the biblical imagery of God.

Many religious scholars and historians debate if this really happened, or whether it was just a myth, with the story being extensive and up for interpretation.

However, regardless of the origin of *commerce* it replaced *fair trade* with a *deceptive system*, which today has engulfed the world, indebted billions and caused hell on earth.

No Money Used

There are several definitions of *commerce*, just to confuse the issue; however the basic definition of *commerce* is any exchange of goods or services, with or *without* the use of money.

Although *money* is a *medium of exchange*, it is <u>not</u> required within *commerce*, which is a *key issue* that begins to show the *deception* and *fraud* of the system.

Note: anything with intrinsic value can be *money*, but <u>not</u> debt. Debt can <u>never</u> be money.

Today *commerce* only uses financial instruments, commonly called *promissory notes*.

If you use the *promissory note* from another to pay for something, "they" control the item purchased <u>not</u> you, as "they" promised to pay.

However *your signature* is now the *source* of *value* in *commerce*, so you should question who is the *author* of the *credit*?

Bureaucrats within the *legal system* will state that *"commerce"* is required for all of us, as we require goods and services almost daily, however it is *not commerce* we need, but *trade*, which is very different.

Furthermore, you can conduct *commerce* within your own *private jurisdiction* and that of another *private jurisdiction*, and *not* enter the *state-controlled* commercial system.

No one has ownership or control of how we do business and no one has a monopoly on this system. In short, both *trade* and *commerce* are *"open source"* and *"common to all"*.

A sovereign would know this, although they would only conduct business through *trade* and with another sovereign.

THE 12 HIDDEN RULES OF COMMERCE

For the successful deception of fooling people to leave the honesty of *trade* and accept the trap of *state controlled legal commerce*, it must first appear to be a *just* system; therefore truth is used to entice you in.

<u>Note:</u> this is true today with people acting as "controlled opposition", who must engage with some truth.

Rule 1

You can only control what you create

This *rule of commerce* is the same as the *maxim of law*; "That which you create, one controls". This idea stems from self-ownership, and if you "own" yourself you therefore must own what you create.

<u>Note:</u> although a *maxim* is *not* a law, it is a *principle* that is hard to defeat, if not impossible.

This rule is a difficult one for the people behind the system to overcome, and although they do not break this rule, they do bypass it.

This is done by "splitting the title" which is applied to property. But to understand this we must start at the beginning where something has first been created.

Declaration of Creation

Once something has been created, to ensure you keep *full control* of the property a *declaration of creation* is usually written.

A *declaration* is witnessed which records when an item was created and by whom, with a description of what was created, just like an *affidavit of creation*.

<u>For example:</u> a car is created and the manufacturer writes a **declaration of creation**, usually referred to as a **manufacturer's statement of origin**.

This document is sold *alongside* the actual car, and given to the new owner who now has *superior title*, including all rights and titles with no *3*rd *party authority* or involvement.

Therefore, you do **not** need a **licence** to use the car or any **registration** documents.

Further to this, if you **own** the conveyance **outright** you do **not** have tax, MOT, or insurance obligations. However, **without proof of creation** now you do, as a **3**rd **party** has possession of this document and therefore **control** of the car.

Therefore the party with the "controlling interest" require the following:

- **Licence** you now require **permission** to drive their property.
- *Tax* they require *payment* for the use of their property.
- **MOT** they require a yearly **inspection** of their property at a cost to you.
- *Insurance* they require their property is *insured* at a cost to you.

This system of *deceptive control* is applied to *any* object or device with a *serial number*, however it does not stop with inanimate objects, it is also applied to *children*.

When a baby is born or a "new baby is created", a manifest or "statement of origin" of the newborn is drawn up, a *title* is created and then is *lost* by the parents who *sign* the *registration* form.

<u>Note:</u> the correct way to draw up a "declaration of creation" of a baby is done through affidavit and noted in a family bible, not through registration.

After signing, you have 72 hours from registering to change your mind or *rescind* your signature. Until then, all official offices *cannot* act within those 72 hours.

Further to this, "child protective services" and "social services" cannot get involved unless the baby is registered through the legal title CHILD or INFANT.

You can claim back your child, as you would give 30 days for the person who has *title* to your *child* to step forward. If they do not, then *title is lost* and can be claimed by you.

Rule 2

You cannot control that which you did not create

Although you can control property you bought from the origin producer in *trade*, you cannot *control* another *man*.

<u>Note:</u> property has no rights or responsibility, meaning children are the responsibility of their parents.

Therefore, if you have become a *slave* or are forced to be one, you now have the *right* to rebel and defend yourself, reclaiming your *freedom* by any means *without* recourse.

As with the 1st rule, the people behind the system *cannot* break this directive, but trick you into accepting a *title* they *create* and therefore *control*.

This is done through the **birth certificate**.

However, your *signature* is *not* on the *birth certificate*, and once you reach the age of majority, the *"contract"* your mother had with the *Vatican* is over, so the state get you to *re-enter* the contract.

This is done through *registration* and the use of your *national insurance* number, or *social security* number, such as a *drivers licence*, *passport*, *registering to vote* and so forth.

Note: acts and statutes are copyrighted, meaning you cannot use them; so is "your" birth certificate.

Rule 3

All of commerce is based on title

The trap of "owner-ship" means there is more than one party involved, however dominion means "under sovereign control".

Within *ownership*, there are many different labels, such as *title*, *rights* and *certificates*, with many hidden *authorities* not disclosed.

<u>Note:</u> a *certificate* is *"awarded"*, meaning to receive *certification* from another; you are now within *"their" ward* as *"they"* have the *authority*.

Certificate essentially means "copy of", so if another certifies you with a "certificate of authority" it is a copy of "their" authority, not yours.

When you buy something make sure you get *allodial title* and *dominion* over your property.

Rule 4

The only true title to anything is the Affidavit of Creation

An *Affidavit of Creation* is the ultimate document of property, as it is based on the sworn testimony to "*God*" of the author's creation.

Over time, this became referred to as a *Declaration of Creation*, or due to everything being corporate, the modern day equivalent is the *Manufacturer Statement of Origin (MSO)*.

This "state controlled title within commerce" is used to steal your property; this is done by "splitting the title".

For example: when a new car is made, a MSO is created and worth the same as the car.

Although the **MSO** should be given to the new owner, it is actually sent to the treasury of a country, whereby a "certificate of manufacture" (COM) is produced.

The car now has **two** titles, with the **MSO** holding the **"controlling interest"** and the **COM** holding the **"use of"** title, meaning the new owner only has **use** of the car but does **not** own it.

Furthermore, with "owner-ship" creating two titles, one of a controlling interest and the other a right to use, two titles can now be sold, doubling the value of the car.

<u>Note:</u> some countries sell the **MSO** to support their **GDP** with the people's tax payments going to the one holding the **MSO**.

This system does become a little more entwined as in most cases the *certificate of manufacture* is actually placed into *trust*, whereby a new title of *"registered keeper"* is created.

Not only does this action strip you of more of your rights, but now places you within a *jurisdiction* whereby you must follow *policy* or face being *fined*.

Rule 5

When you register anything anywhere you give up title

Although not always the case in general, however when dealing with any *corporation* or *government department*, registration means giving up a *title* and handing over *authority*.

The way to spot this is when you are asked to give up 3 or more points of contact or "contract", such as first name, surname and date of birth.

The *title* given up is referred to as "colour of title" or "colourable title", as the system of commerce is artificial and only deals in "colour of", such as "colour of law" and "courts of colour".

Because no money is used and therefore *nothing* is paid for in conclusion of contract, everything today operates as a trust, splitting title between the *private* and the "*public*".

The loss of your children is done through this **commerce** based trust system, using **"colourable titles"**.

Through the birth certificate, the *mother* fills out the *MSO* in her *maiden name* and *not* her family or *house* name, which bypasses the protection of the *husband* or man of the *house*.

She is made an *informant* to the state that a *child* has been born outside of wedlock, which has been abandoned and is ready for collection.

If you register to vote, you have handed over your power of attorney due to doing so within a **ward**, and have become a **constituent** or responsible for a debt of another.

Within university, you *register* for class, where on completion you receive a *certificate*.

<u>Note:</u> the academic cap, Oxford cap or mortarboard cap worn in university symbolises being "trapped in a box" meaning contract and closed mind.

<u>Rule 6</u>

There is no money

Within **state controlled commerce** no **money** is used. However, it has been replaced with a monetary system using only **credit** and **debt**, operating with a trust.

<u>Note:</u> within trade a *credit* usually represents an *item of value*, or a *unit of time*, but within *commerce* the *credit* has no such value, in fact it is *valueless*.

There is *private credit* and *public credit*.

- **Private credit** the credit that is created with your **signature**.
- **Public credit** the credit offered by the **central bank** of a particular **country**.

Public credit is represented by bank notes, which are **promissory notes** that are backed by nothing but the **credit "of the people"**.

However, a *central bank* and a *country* are *private corporations*, with the word "public" referring to a *privately* controlled *Private Members Association (PMA)*, with a *constitution* and a *controlling* body.

Therefore, if you are the *author* of your *credit*, how can you also be the *debtor* with "bills" to pay?

What governments are doing is using **your credit** to back the **promissory note** of a **private bank**.

The bank then "loans" the government these promissory notes, at interest, to pay for everything, creating the national debt.

This *debt* is then sold on the debt market to corporations, such as *utility companies*, and made to look like *"bills"*, which are then sold to the unsuspecting people.

These people then unwittingly pay for this debt, hence why it is called *re-payments*, as they are tricked into paying twice.

You have become both *creditor* and *debtor*.

<u>Note:</u> a mortgage company is doing the same thing: they take your *private credit* and convert it into *public credit*, then give it back to you as a "*pseudo-loan*", which you end up paying back plus interest.

However, no one has the right to require you to use *public credit*. Furthermore, if anyone does force you to involve a 3rd party, it is a form of *racketeering* and violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) passed in 1970.

This means you have the right to use your **own credit** to settle any **debt** within this system of **commerce**.

<u>Rule 7</u>

There is no involuntary servitude

There was a time when physical slavery was part of mankind's history, where people, regardless of colour, were just simply captured and put to work under the threat of pain of death.

However, in May 1772, Lord Mansfield's judgment in the "Somerset case" liberated a slave in England and thus helped launch the movement to abolish slavery.

With the people beginning to speak out against slavery, the ones controlling the system and therefore *commerce*, had a difficult problem to solve, as they could no longer rely on *physical slavery*.

Note: to **physically** own someone against their will is a **crime** and therefore **unlawful**, regardless of contract.

However, if someone wished to be a slave and gave consent, then the *law* would not be broken and slavery would be *legal*.

To do this the people behind the system required three points of contract to make slavery "legal".

So, any *three* marks placed on a piece of paper by the unsuspecting victim, would be enough for the contract to be binding.

<u>Note:</u> if a contract is ever applied upon you, it is your right to request to see it and it *must* be provided, otherwise without contract, it becomes *physical slavery*.

You *cannot* be born into a contract, as you have *not* reached the *age of consent* and therefore *cannot* accept the *obligation*.

To be **born** into a **contract** means having the **burden of obligation** from another forced onto you, meaning you are a **slave**.

You *cannot* be forced to work for free, as this makes you a *slave*. So, when you receive an order from a government, give them your bill.

Acts and statutes are nothing more than *corporate policy*, so *proof of contract* must be provided before accepting the *obligation*.

If there is *no contract*, then you have *freedom of association* and have the right to accept or decline the offer.

If you wish to accept their *corporate policy*, then you have the right to submit how much you want to get *paid* and your *liability waiver*, just like any other *employee*.

This means if the police send you a request to hand over the details of the driver of a car, submit your counteroffer and say how much you want to get *paid* and that you are *free of liability*.

Registration is voluntary servitude.

Rule 8

First in line is first in time

The loss in authority over your property is done through the manipulation of *titles*, which begins with the *family*.

Throughout history it has always been the responsibility of a man to be the provider and protector of his *family*, with his *"house"* giving *jurisdictional dominion* and *protection* over his wife and children, who would take the name of his *"house"*.

The word *house* appears to have its roots in the *Proto-Germanic* word *"hūsan"*, which meant *"family"*, and was not used in reference to a building till the 1500's.

By the 1540's the word *house* had transferred to the *building* in which the "body" meets.

<u>For example:</u> an "audience in a theatre" from 1660's would be the ladies and gentlemen of the house.

The phrase "an Englishman's home is his castle" refers to the sovereignty of the house, or family, and is a warning to those who choose to trespass against it, as you are entering the dominion of he who holds title to it.

It is this protection of a man's *house*, meaning *family*, which is a difficult obstacle for the people behind the system to overcome without repercussions.

By offering this protection, the head of the family holds the *first title* with the wife holding the *second title*, and then additional family members holding *titles* further along the line, creating a *tribe*.

<u>Note:</u> it is the **woman** who has the **ultimate decision** as it is she who decides to accept the offer of marriage made by the **"man with resources"** or **husband**.

To bypass this protection, and therefore "first title", the wife is tricked into registering a birth using her maiden name, which removes the child from the protection of the house.

Through the registering of the birth, the state now claims "first title" to the newly born baby, by way of the legal title "child" or "infant".

Note: commerce can only deal in *legal titles*, *not* the flesh and blood of the living.

The **second title** is lost by the **parents** when they **register** their **child** with **state school**, and at that point the **parents** have lost **all rights** to the **child**.

This is why parents get "fined" for taking the "child" out of school within "term" time, as they do not have the right to remove government property without permission from the school, and have broken the "terms" of the contract.

The *parents* have now been placed *third in line* within "title".

Voting

The people behind the system need a constant source of new *children* to bind into their *bonded-slave commerce system* and set up many traps to ensure this.

One way this is done is by *removing* the wife and children from the *protection* of the *house* through a *"democracy"*.

Within *commerce* the title "Mr" is applied to the *surname* and becomes a "junior partner" or "franchise" to the *state*.

Therefore, the "man of the house" or "Mr" has the right to vote within this "corporate democracy", although in truth his vote is worthless.

Note: you vote within a ward, giving up your power of attorney.

With the wife taking the title "Mrs" she holds **second title** to the **house** and is **protected** by it. However, by being given the "right to vote", she has given up that **title** and hence **protection** and **jurisdiction** of the **house**, or the man she elected to marry.

Without the *protection* of her *house*, her *children* are now exposed, and taken by the state to become *bonded slaves*.

Other deceptive ways your *title* is lost is through registration for *copyright* and *patents*, as you have now placed the *"first title"* within a jurisdiction controlled by someone else.

First in line is first in time also applies to claims, whereby the first to make a claim is the first in line.

Therefore, the *first* to *lien* against a property must be fully satisfied before the consideration of later liens.

It should be noted that the *legal lien* process can only function against property that has been *registered*, as the *first title* of the property is now *outside* the protection of the *"house"*.

Meaning liens only apply to *registered property*, as they are not protected by *allodial title*.

Rule 9

Do not interfere with commerce

There are *no* uninvited 3rd party interveners allowed within this system of commerce. This is also true for trade.

Meaning a 3rd party *cannot* forcibly include themselves into the *private* business of others.

Within *commerce* slavery is "voluntary"; therefore you *cannot* directly intervene with this "voluntary" act between two parties. To do so would bring repercussions and obligations upon you.

This means you *cannot* take it upon yourself to free them from their slavery, hence you *cannot* do it for them; they must do it themselves.

<u>For example:</u> you *cannot* serve notice on someone else's behalf, or name. Furthermore, you *cannot* break them out of prison, as they *"volunteered"* to be there.

However, if they ask for your help, then **no violation** of **contract** has been breached and you are **not** liable or obligated in any way.

Note: you can give counsel and offer information, but do **not** take on the responsibility of others.

Within *commerce*, do not get involved unless asked or your offer of help has been accepted.

You *cannot* fight commerce as this would be a "breach of policy"; however you do not have to become implicated as you can simply decline the offer and walk away.

Rule 10

Allow nothing to come between you and your creator

Regardless of your personal religious beliefs and your acceptance of a **god** or **creator**, just know that those who control this system **do** believe in **their** religion.

Because it is common for people to believe in a religion and therefore worship a deity, a weakness was observed and then exploited.

Those who control the system knew that *direct control* of the people would be impossible; however to *control* a *deity* they worship would be much easier and financially beneficial.

Note: a legion of followers is used to gain wealth and power, and a "re-legion" is to do so again.

The word *statute* stems from the word *statue*, which refers to *pagan deities* placed between the people and their *"creator"*, and are therefore under the *control* of the church.

Mark of the Beast

The mark of the beast is not **666**, as the true number has **seven digits**, with the number **seven** referring to the **spiritual** and the number **six** referring to the **physical**.

It is this **seven** digit number that reveals the **spiritual event** that has taken place within the **"heart of man"**, giving power to the **beast**.

Number of man:

"Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is six hundred threescore-and-six".

Six hundred threescore-and-six

A "score" can be referred to the number twenty, therefore "threescore" is 60, resulting in the following number: six hundred (600) threescore (60) and (0) six (6): 6006006.

<u>Note:</u> when you use *parse syntax grammar*, this number can be read from left to right, or right to left, it is the same number.

Mark of the beast Revelation 13:

"It causes all, both small and great, both rich and poor, both free and slave, to be marked on the right hand or the forehead, so that no one can buy or sell unless he has the mark, that is, the name of the beast or the number of its name".

The mark of the beast is used to trap you into *commerce*, but it is done through the *rule of three*.

Rule of Three

Sometimes referred to as "three-fold law" or "law of return", is a religious tenet or doctrine held by many ancient religions, with the true origin now lost through time.

With the number *three* being the smallest number to create a pattern, it is often applied to the *"energy"* a person puts out into the world, be it positive or negative, which will be returned to that person *three* times.

Although the general accepted number of the beast being only *three* digits, could be considered the trap of commerce, it is the reference to *threescore* that exposes the truth.

A **score** comes from the **Proto-Germanic** word **"skurō"** from the year 500 BC, which means **"tear"** or **"cut"**.

Over time, a "score" would refer to a "mark" cut into a stick as a tally made by drovers for every twenty beasts passing through a tollgate.

Note: a **drover** is a person who **drives** animals, especially cattle or **sheep** over long distances.

Therefore, as you place your "three scores" or "marks" on to any state or corporate document, you have contracted with "the beast" also known as "the Devils contract".

You have worshipped a deity controlled by the church, which cut you off from the "creator" or the spirit of creation.

Rule 11

Commerce can only exist between the dead

Both *commerce* and *trade* use contracts. However, where *trade* can function with "*legal entities*" and *also* the living, *commerce* cannot.

For this system of *commerce* to function every living breathing man or woman requires a *"legal fiction*", or *corporation*, under *"their control"* to participate.

Every *living being* is now acting as "director" of their "private corporation", which can enter into contracts with other corporations that are controlled by another *living entity*.

These *corporations* only operate within *paper contracts* and are considered *dead entities*.

This means that a *living entity* cannot enter into contract with a *dead entity*, as these are two different *jurisdictions* or "realms of reality", ergo one is real, the other is not.

Therefore, as a *living entity* it is impossible to be obligated in *any* form of contract with *any corporation*, including *government*.

This presents a serious problem for those who control the system, or "state-controlled legal commerce", for if they disclosed this to you, the fraud would be easy to see.

That is why "implied corporations" are used.

Every time you deal with a corporation, agency or government department a new "implied corporation" is created that resembles your name, so you can conduct "commerce" with said corporation.

Note: an *implied corporation* is <u>not</u> you, and every "corporate letter" you receive is therefore <u>not</u> addressed to **you**.

Furthermore, this *implied corporation* is <u>not</u> under *your control* as it was created by someone else and, as no *full disclosure* was given regarding said *implied corporation*, there is *no contract* and therefore no *obligation*.

Any *obligation* falls with the one who created the *corporation* and *"implied"* it upon someone else without offering *full disclosure*, *and* therefore <u>not</u> receiving *acceptance of contract*.

So when receiving mail regarding claims and debts, challenge the *name* and *origin* of the *corporation*, and ask to see *proof* that you had *accepted* it as yours.

Rule 12

You can terminate any contract

From mid-14th century *Old English*, the word *"belong"* meant *"to go along with"* or *"properly relate to"* or *"be a member of"*.

Freedom means to have the ability to choose who you **belong** to and who **belongs** to you, hence you have the right to terminate any relationship, agreement or contract.

To be **denied** termination of **contract** makes you a **slave**, which violates the 7th rule of **no involuntary servitude**, and as a **slave** you have the right to rebel and gain your **freedom**.

However, failing a *true obligation* means you are *not* a man of your word, and without your word, you are *worthless*.

Everyone, **not** being a **slave**, has the right to **rescind**, **cancel** or **revoke** their signature from any document, which would mean both parties are released from their **obligations** under the agreement.

However, if the *contract* were to be deemed *honourable*, then to *rescind* your signature could impose *obligations* that you *cannot* terminate.

<u>For example:</u> within *trade* if you had contracted to make monthly payments for an item, you *cannot* terminate the contract and keep the item, without the *obligation* to pay.

Note: Any contract that does **not** have **full disclosure** is **null and void**.